

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application N .

10/041,121

Applicant(s)

RANTALA ET AL.

Examin r

Brian J. Davis

Art Unit

1621

All Participants:

(1) Brian J. Davis.

(2) Keiko Kubovcik.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 July 2003

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
none

Prior art documents discussed:
none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A courtesy call was made to Mr. Kubovcik to determine if an election might be made over the phone. No election was made.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 **BRIAN DAVIS**
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)